

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,635	12/17/2003	Satoshi Okamoto	Q78955	4743
7590 11/09/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NUTTER, NATHAN M	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/00/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/736,635	OKAMOTO ET A	AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress				
Period for Reply	DEDLY IS SET TO EVOID	E a MONTUKO OD TURDIV (20) DAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMING THE STATE OF THIS COMING THE STATE OF THE STATE	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 August 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□							
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers			İ				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	••	• • •	` ' 1				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a laim for for a laim for for for a laim for for a laim for for a laim for for for for a laim for for for for a laim for	oreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			·				
		•					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date.					
Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (Prer:	ГО-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The following is placed in effect in view of the amendment filed 25 August 2005.

The rejection of claim 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of the cancellation of claim 23.

The objection of claim 23 under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of the cancellation of claim 23.

The provisional rejection of claims 1-23 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 10/763,385, is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer of 25 August 2005.

The provisional rejection of claims 1-6 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/647,379, is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katagiri et al (US 2004/0044171 A1), is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ueno et al (US 2005/0054811 A1), is hereby expressly withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,635

Art Unit: 1711

The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kometani et al, is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Suenaga et al,is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The following rejection is being maintained.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b):

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 10/799,710. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application is drawn to a resin composition which may embrace the resin, film, laminate and the process of making the film as claimed herein. Further, the manipulation of solvent in the

Application/Control Number: 10/736,635

4-44

Art Unit: 1711

composition would determine whether a liquid or a paste composition would be produced.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections and objection, as noted above, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections and objection of the claims under the grounds pointed out above have been withdrawn.

With respect to applicant's arguments filed 25 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. A Terminal Disclaimer is necessary to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection being maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

4 November 2005